

---

# Advances in Root Canal Disinfection: A Review of Irrigants and Intracanal Medicaments

Dr Agami Mehta

BDS, MDS (periodontics), India

Corresponding E-mail: [leomehta93@gmail.com](mailto:leomehta93@gmail.com)

## Abstract

The root canal therapy is greatly reliant on the ability to remove the microbial biofilms and stop the reinfection. The mechanical instrumentation is fundamental, although not sufficient and the addition of irrigants and intracanal medicaments are required. Sodium hypochlorite, chlorhexidine and EDTA are traditional irrigants that have been extensively used due to their antimicrobial effect and ability to remove the smear layer, but their drawbacks in terms of biocompatibility and biofilm eradication have led to the pursuit of a new option. Recent developments are characterised by application of herbal extracts, solutions containing nanoparticles, hypochlorous acid and ozone formulations, commonly combined with active methods of enhancement like ultrasonic, sonic and laser-aided irrigation, as means of enhancing disinfection performance. In a similar fashion, intracanal medicaments have transformed calcium hydroxide and phenolic-based medicaments to antibiotic-containing pastes, nanoparticle-enhanced medicaments, and bioactive natural medicaments and have been used both in conventional and regenerative endodontics. Alongside these developments, there are still problems such as cytotoxicity concerns, antimicrobial resistance, and failure to eliminate biofilms. The future directions are biofilm-specific treatment, intelligent drug delivery, and artificial intelligence (AI) to streamline disinfection procedures and optimize them, with strong clinical trials to promote evidence-based practices. This review is based on the synthesis of the existing advances in the field of root canal disinfection, focusing on its possible role on improving the treatment outcome without jeopardizing the safety and biocompatibility.

**Keywords:** Root canal disinfection, irrigants, intracanal medicaments, nanoparticles, biofilm eradication, regenerative endodontics

## I. Introduction

### Background

Root canal therapy depends greatly on the total removal of microbial contamination of the root canal system. Endodontic infections are normally complex polymicrobial, anaerobic based and structured within tough biofilms. Such biofilms shield microorganisms against the host defense and lessen the effectiveness of antimicrobial agents, and thus they constitute a chronic problem in treatment. Though mechanical instrumentation is vital in shaping the canal and minimizing the microbial load, it cannot completely attain thorough debridement because of the anatomy of the root canal systems.

## **Roles of Chemical Disinfection**

In order to overcome these constraints, chemical disinfection using irrigants and intracanal medicaments has found its way as being essential. Irrigants have various purposes, such as dissolving tissues, removing the smear layer, and having a direct antimicrobial effect, whereas the intracanal medicaments prolong the antimicrobial effect between treatment periods. They assist each other to increase the chances of successful treatment and healing of periapical.

## **Disinfection Strategy Advancements**

Conventional methods including sodium hypochlorite, chlorhexidine, and calcium hydroxide have proven to have clinical usability but their deficiencies including cytotoxicity, failure to completely eradicate biofilms or constrained spectrum of action have necessitated continued investigation. More recent developments are the application of herbal extracts, nanotechnology-based agents and new modes of activation like ultrasonic activated irrigation and laser-assisted irrigation, which are intended to increase the effectiveness of disinfection, at the same time reducing side effects. Intracanal medicaments have also advanced to more bioactive and biocompatible designs and they are not merely of use in conventional endodontics but also, with regard to regenerative therapies.

## **Rationale for the Review**

It is essential to generalize the existing information to the clinicians and researchers because the new disinfection strategies evolve rapidly. Their traditional and new irrigants, intracanal medicaments, and activation methods are examined in this review, along with their benefits, shortcomings, and clinical effects. Moreover, it also brings some light on the existing issues and visualizes future predictions of safer, more effective, and biologically friendly root canal disinfection procedures.

## **II. Root Canal Irrigants**

## A. Conventional Irrigants

**1. Sodium Hypochlorite (NaOCl):** Sodium hypochlorite remains the gold standard irrigant owing to its potent antimicrobial activity and ability to dissolve organic tissue. Its concentration-dependent efficacy allows clinicians to tailor its use to specific clinical scenarios. However, its cytotoxic potential, risk of accidental extrusion, and unpleasant odor and taste remain major limitations.

**2. Chlorhexidine (CHX):** Chlorhexidine exhibits broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity and a unique property of substantivity, providing prolonged antibacterial effects even after removal from the canal. Despite these advantages, it lacks tissue-dissolving capability and may form undesirable precipitates when combined with NaOCl, raising safety concerns.

**3. Chelating Agents (EDTA and Alternatives):** Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) is routinely used to remove the smear layer, facilitating better penetration of disinfectants and sealers into dentinal tubules. Its combination with NaOCl enhances overall efficacy. However, prolonged use can lead to dentin erosion, and interest in alternatives such as citric acid and phytic acid has grown due to their comparable chelating capacity with potentially reduced adverse effects.

## B. Emerging Irrigant Solutions

**1. Herbal and Biological Irrigants:** Plant-derived agents such as propolis, neem, curcumin, and green tea polyphenols have attracted attention due to their antimicrobial activity, biocompatibility, and lower cytotoxicity. While promising, variability in preparation and standardization poses challenges for consistent clinical use.

**2. Nanoparticle-Based Irrigants:** The application of nanotechnology has led to irrigants incorporating silver, chitosan, and zinc oxide nanoparticles, which demonstrate enhanced penetration into biofilms and dentinal tubules. Their small particle size increases surface area and antibacterial efficacy, although safety and long-term effects require further evaluation.

**3. Hypochlorous Acid and Ozone Formulations:** Hypochlorous acid provides antimicrobial action with superior tissue compatibility compared to NaOCl, though its stability and shelf life remain limitations. Ozone, in gaseous or aqueous form, has demonstrated strong antibacterial effects, yet challenges with delivery systems and cost have restricted its routine clinical adoption.

## C. Irrigation Activation Techniques

**1. Sonic and Ultrasonic Activation:** Both sonic and ultrasonic devices enhance irrigant penetration and improve canal wall debridement. Ultrasonics, in particular, generate acoustic streaming and cavitation, significantly improving biofilm disruption.

**2. Laser-Activated Irrigation:** Lasers, including erbium and diode systems, have shown promise in creating photoacoustic shock waves that drive irrigants deeper into canal complexities. Despite their efficacy, cost, learning curve, and equipment accessibility are current barriers to widespread adoption.

**3. Negative Pressure Systems:** Negative pressure irrigation minimizes the risk of apical extrusion while delivering irrigants effectively to working length. Studies have demonstrated superior safety and comparable or improved cleaning efficacy relative to conventional positive pressure syringes.

### III. Intracanal Medicaments

#### A. Traditional Medicaments

**1. Calcium Hydroxide ( $\text{Ca(OH)}_2$ ):** Calcium hydroxide has long been considered the benchmark intracanal medicament due to its strong alkalinity, which exerts antimicrobial effects and promotes hard tissue formation. It is particularly effective against most planktonic bacteria and some fungi. However, its limited activity against *Enterococcus faecalis* and biofilm-embedded microorganisms reduces its effectiveness in persistent infections. Extended use may also weaken dentin by altering its mechanical properties.

**2. Phenolic Compounds and Formocresol:** Historically, phenolic compounds and formocresol were employed for their antimicrobial potential. Over time, their use has declined sharply due to concerns about mutagenicity, cytotoxicity, and poor biocompatibility, rendering them largely obsolete in contemporary practice.

#### B. Advanced Medicaments

**1. Antibiotic-Based Pastes:** Triple antibiotic paste (TAP: ciprofloxacin, metronidazole, and minocycline) and double antibiotic paste (DAP: ciprofloxacin and metronidazole) have shown superior antimicrobial activity, especially against resistant endodontic pathogens. They have been extensively used in regenerative endodontic procedures to disinfect immature teeth with necrotic pulps. However, drawbacks include the potential for tooth discoloration (notably with minocycline), cytotoxic effects on stem cells, and the risk of promoting antibiotic resistance.

**2. Nanoparticle-Enhanced Medicaments:** Nanoparticles, such as silver, chitosan, and calcium hydroxide nanoparticles, are increasingly incorporated into medicaments to improve their penetration and antimicrobial action. These formulations have demonstrated the ability to disrupt biofilms more effectively than conventional agents, with chitosan offering additional bioactive and regenerative properties. Although promising, concerns about toxicity and long-term tissue interactions remain under investigation.

**3. Natural and Herbal Alternatives:** Natural compounds, including propolis, curcumin, and aloe vera, have been explored as biocompatible intracanal medicaments. They exhibit antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant properties, with potential applications in regenerative contexts. Nevertheless, their variability in preparation, lack of standardization, and limited long-term clinical data currently limit widespread clinical integration.

## Clinical Implications

The selection of intracanal medicament should consider both antimicrobial efficacy and biological compatibility. Calcium hydroxide remains useful in routine practice, particularly for multi-visit cases, but emerging formulations—especially those incorporating nanoparticles and natural bioactive agents—offer exciting opportunities to overcome biofilm resistance and support regenerative therapies. Clinicians must remain cautious regarding antibiotic overuse, potential cytotoxic effects, and the balance between disinfection and preservation of host tissue viability.

## IV. Challenges and Limitations

Despite significant progress in the development of irrigants and intracanal medicaments, several challenges remain that limit their effectiveness and clinical applicability.

### A. Cytotoxicity and Biocompatibility Issues

Many conventional irrigants, including sodium hypochlorite and high-concentration EDTA, exhibit cytotoxic effects when extruded beyond the apex. Similarly, certain intracanal medicaments, such as antibiotic pastes, may negatively impact stem cell survival and dentin integrity.

### B. Antibiotic Resistance and Discoloration

The use of antibiotic-based pastes, particularly triple antibiotic paste, raises concerns about bacterial resistance. Additionally, minocycline in TAP is strongly associated with crown discoloration, limiting its aesthetic acceptability in anterior teeth.

### C. Incomplete Biofilm Eradication

Biofilms within root canal systems are highly resistant to both irrigants and medicaments due to their extracellular matrix and anatomical complexity. Even advanced agents may fail to completely eradicate deeply embedded microorganisms.

### D. Practical and Clinical Limitations

Activation systems such as lasers or negative pressure devices, while effective, may be cost-prohibitive and require specialized training. Herbal and nanoparticle-based formulations, though promising, often face challenges of availability, standardization, and regulatory approval.

**Table 1. Limitations of Current Disinfection Strategies and Potential Solutions**

| Challenge                             | Examples                                 | Clinical Implications                   | Potential Strategies to Overcome   |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Cytotoxicity of irrigants             | NaOCl extrusion, high-concentration EDTA | Tissue damage, pain, impaired healing   | Optimize concentrations, employ safer delivery systems (e.g., negative pressure) |
| Antibiotic resistance & discoloration | TAP (minocycline component)              | Resistant strains, aesthetic concerns   | Substitute minocycline, use DAP, explore non-antibiotic bioactive agents         |
| Incomplete biofilm eradication        | Deep-seated E. faecalis, fungal biofilms | Persistent infection, treatment failure | Nanoparticle-enhanced agents, activation systems, biofilm-targeted therapies     |

|  |                                       |  |   |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Dentin weakening                         | Long-term $\text{Ca(OH)}_2$ use       | Increased fracture susceptibility            | Limit application time, use modified formulations with lower impact       |
| Lack of standardization in herbal agents | Propolis, curcumin, neem              | Inconsistent antimicrobial efficacy          | Standardize extraction, dosing, and clinical protocols                    |
| High cost and accessibility barriers     | Laser and advanced irrigation systems | Limited use in resource-constrained settings | Develop cost-effective devices, adapt protocols for broader accessibility |

## V. Clinical Implications

### A. Impact on Treatment Success

The success of root canal therapy depends on achieving maximal microbial reduction while preserving the integrity of periapical tissues. Irrigants and medicaments directly influence the likelihood of bacterial eradication, periapical healing, and long-term tooth retention.

### B. Implications for Regenerative Endodontics

Advances in intracanal medicaments have particular relevance for regenerative procedures. Antibiotic pastes, despite their antimicrobial strength, may negatively affect stem cell viability. In contrast, natural and nanoparticle-based medicaments are emerging as potential solutions due to their antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and bioactive properties.

### C. Balancing Efficacy with Biocompatibility

A critical clinical consideration lies in balancing antimicrobial potency with potential cytotoxic effects. Innovations such as negative pressure irrigation and optimized delivery systems offer practical ways to enhance safety without compromising efficacy.

### D. Towards Personalized Disinfection Strategies

Case-specific factors such as infection extent, tooth anatomy, patient age, and regenerative therapy planning should guide the choice of irrigants and medicaments. Personalized disinfection strategies represent the future of precision endodontics.

## **E. Integration into Clinical Practice**

Translation of novel agents into clinical use requires evaluation of cost, accessibility, and evidence-based support. Clinicians must cautiously integrate emerging solutions while prioritizing patient safety and predictable outcomes.

## **VI. Future Perspectives**

### **A. Biofilm-Targeted Therapies**

Future disinfection will increasingly focus on disrupting biofilm structure using enzymes, quorum-sensing inhibitors, and extracellular matrix degraders.

### **B. Smart Drug Delivery Systems**

Nanotechnology-driven carriers, hydrogels, and pH-responsive systems may provide controlled, localized, and prolonged antimicrobial release.

### **C. Integration with Regenerative Endodontics**

Future medications must balance disinfection with stem cell survival and angiogenesis, linking antimicrobial strategies to regenerative success.

### **D. Role of Artificial Intelligence (AI)**

AI may personalize disinfection protocols by analyzing microbial profiles, anatomical complexity, and clinical factors to optimize outcomes.

### **E. Clinical Translation**



Promising agents require rigorous validation through multicenter trials. Beyond antimicrobial efficacy, emphasis must shift to patient-centered outcomes such as comfort, healing, and tooth survival.

## VII. Conclusion

Effective root canal disinfection remains a cornerstone of successful endodontic therapy. While conventional irrigants such as sodium hypochlorite, chlorhexidine, and EDTA, alongside calcium hydroxide as a medicament, continue to play central roles, their limitations highlight the need for ongoing innovation. Advances in herbal and nanoparticle-based solutions, antibiotic alternatives, and enhanced irrigation activation systems offer promising avenues to overcome challenges such as biofilm persistence, cytotoxicity, and antibiotic resistance.

In the case of regenerative endodontics, medicament selection should copy antimicrobial activity against tissue healing, stem cell preservation, and tissue healing. New technologies such as biofilm-targeted agents, smart drug delivery systems, and AI-assisted protocols are potentially critical to disrupt the disinfection strategies and streamline the patient outcomes.

In the end, critical assessment of these advances into the field of practice needs to be undertaken by vigorous clinical trials, protocol standardization, and accessibility and cost-effectiveness. Root canal disinfection procedures can be safely, predictably and biologically evolved by integrating scientific innovation with scientifically supported clinical use.

## References

1. Haapasalo, M., & Qian, W. (2008). Irrigants and intracanal medicaments. *Ingle's endodontics. 6th ed. Hamilton, ON, Canada: BC Decker Inc*, 992-1011.
2. Kumar, A., Tamanna, S., & Iftekhhar, H. (2019). Intracanal medicaments–Their use in modern endodontics: A narrative review. *Journal of Oral Research and Review*, 11(2), 94-99.
3. Mohammadi, Z., Soltani, M. K., & Shalavi, S. (2014). An update on the management of endodontic biofilms using root canal irrigants and medicaments. *Iranian endodontic journal*, 9(2), 89.
4. Singh, S. (2019). Vital pulp therapy: A Bio ceramic-Based Approach. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Research*, 7(04), 10-18.

5. Prada, I., Micó-Muñoz, P., Giner-Lluesma, T., Micó-Martínez, P., Muwaquet-Rodríguez, S., & Albero-Monteagudo, A. (2019). Update of the therapeutic planning of irrigation and intracanal medication in root canal treatment. A literature review. *Journal of clinical and experimental dentistry*, 11(2), e185.
6. Kawashima, N., Wadachi, R., Suda, H., Yeng, T., & Parashos, P. (2009). Root canal medicaments. *International dental journal*, 59(1), 5-11.
7. Wong, J., Manoil, D., Näsman, P., Belibasakis, G. N., & Neelakantan, P. (2021). Microbiological aspects of root canal infections and disinfection strategies: an update review on the current knowledge and challenges. *Frontiers in Oral Health*, 2, 672887.
8. Siqueira Jr, J. F., & Rôças, I. N. (2015). Intracanal medication. *Endodontic Irrigation: Chemical disinfection of the root canal system*, 267-283.
9. Singh, S. (2020). Irrigation Dynamics in Endodontics: Advances, Challenges and Clinical Implications. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Research*, 8(02), 26-32.
10. Mohammadi, Z., Jafarzadeh, H., Shalavi, S., & Palazzi, F. (2017). Recent advances in root canal disinfection: a review. *Iranian endodontic journal*, 12(4), 402.
11. Deshpande, A., & Sudani, U. (2015). Intracanal medicament in pediatric endodontics: a literature review. *Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research*, 3(2), 63.
12. Good, M. L., Karim, I. E., & Hussey, D. L. (2012). Endodontic ‘solutions’ part 1: A literature review on the use of endodontic lubricants, irrigants and medicaments. *Dental update*, 39(4), 239-246.
13. Bukhari, S., & Babaeer, A. (2019). Irrigation in endodontics: a review. *Current Oral Health Reports*, 6(4), 367-376.
14. Singh, S. (2020). Deep Margin Elevation: A Conservative Alternative in Restorative Dentistry. *SRMS JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCE*, 5(02), 1-9.
15. Mironova, J., & Radeva, E. (2021). Factors affecting removal efficiency of intracanal medicaments-a literature review. *IJSR*, 10(6), 301-304.
16. Parikh, M., Kishan, K. V., Solanki, N. P., Parikh, M., Savaliya, K., Bindu, V. H., & Devika, T. D. (2019). Efficacy of Removal of Calcium Hydroxide Medicament from Root Canals by Endoactivator and Endovac Irrigation Techniques: A Systematic Review of: In vitro: Studies. *Contemporary Clinical Dentistry*, 10(1), 135-142.

- 
17. Shaik, Kamal Mohammed Najeeb. (2022). Security Challenges and Solutions in SD-WAN Deployments. SAMRIDDHI A Journal of Physical Sciences Engineering and Technology. 14. 2022. 10.18090/samriddhi.v14i04..
  18. Adebayo, Ismail Akanmu. (2022). ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE OF FERROCENE NANOPARTICLE -HIBISCUS CANNABINUS BIODIESEL ADMIXED FUEL BLENDED WITH HYDROGEN IN DIRECT INJECTION (DI) ENGINE. Transactions of Tianjin University. 55. 10.5281/zenodo.16931428.
  19. Joshua, Olatunde & Ovuchi, Blessing & Nkansah, Christopher & Akomolafe, Oluwabunmi & Adebayo, Ismail Akanmu & Godson, Osagwu & Clifford, Okotie. (2018). Optimizing Energy Efficiency in Industrial Processes: A Multi-Disciplinary Approach to Reducing Consumption in Manufacturing and Petroleum Operations across West Africa.
  20. Nkansah, Christopher. (2021). Geomechanical Modeling and Wellbore Stability Analysis for Challenging Formations in the Tano Basin, Ghana.